

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/705,486 11/03/		11/03/2000	Joseph Gendler	P/2167-166	7644
2352	7590	03/03/2003			
		ER GERB & SOF	EXAMINER		
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403				SHAFFER, ERIC T	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3623	

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Gendle Gendl			Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Eric T. Shaffer 3623	•	•	09/705,486	GENDLER, JOSEPH					
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for R ply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eathermore for the may be available under the provious of 3 fCRF 1.13(a). In no evert, however, may a reply be timely filed a state of the provider	•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of three may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled Extensions of three may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled Extensions of three may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15(a), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filled If No parnod for reply is apecified above, the maximum statutory proteins will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication. Faults to imply wheth the six of considered provide for maximum statutory proteins will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication. Faults to imply wheth the six of considered provide for maximum statutory proteins will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH'S from the making date of this communication. Faults to imply wheth the six of consideration is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 c.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-67 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) 1-67 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-67 is/are rejected to. 9) The provision is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are allowed. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are allowed. 10) The proposed drawing correction filled on is/are: allowed. 11) The proposed drawing correction filled on is/are: allowed. 12) The proposed drawing correction filled on is/are: allowed. 13) Acknowledgment			Eric T. Shaffer	3623					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Eatherisot of them may be available under the provision of 3° CFR 1.56(a). Inn or event, however, may a reply be timely filed Eatherisot of them prove a validate and the provision of 3° CFR 1.56(a). Inn or event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the period for reply specified above is less that hifty (30) days, a reply within the subatory minimum or thinly (30) days with be considered simily. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the provision of the period of the period for reply within the sort or extended premotion and substrated premotion of the period of the per									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on \$\textit{Q3 November 2000}\$. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	A SHOTHE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any rearne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONET	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) are subject to to estriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)		Page and the communication (s) filed on 03 /	November 2000						
3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ⟨ Claim(s) is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⟨ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⟨ Claim(s) is/are eljected. 7) ⟨ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⟨ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ⟨ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ⟨ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ⟨ accepted or b) ⟨ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ⟨ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ⟨ approved b) ⟨ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ⟨ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ⟨ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⟨ All b⟩ ⟨ Some * c⟩ ⟨ None of: 1. ⟨ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ⟨ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ⟨ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 14. ⟨ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ⟨ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15. ⟨ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(e) ⟨ D. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	· -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	,	,		osecution as to the marits is					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 15 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 16 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 20 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 21 Notice of Pafeperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	· ·	•							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.	·	- · · / · · ·							
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5)[
8	6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-67</u> is/are rejected.							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicati	on Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.									
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	a)ر								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		<u> </u>							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachmen	t(s)							
	2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal F						

Art Unit: 3623

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is an initial Office Action upon examination of the above-identified application on the merits. Claims 1-67 are pending in this application.

Claim Objections

2. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Application has two claims numbered 57. Misnumbered claims 57 have been renumbered 57 and 58. Claims 58 – 66 have been renumbered as 59 – 67, respectively (including all claim dependencies). Please officially fix all dependencies accordingly via a claim amendment in response to this office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

SNI)

Art Unit: 3623

4. Claims 1 – 15, 17 – 29, 35 – 50, 52 – 64, 66 and 67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mora et al (US 6,161,113).

Claims 1, 2, 17, 35 and 37 are a method and a system for automating the management of a project. A project management system is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses a system that incorporates "Project Mgt Priorities--description of the project management's priorities for the project and long-range plans" (column 10, line 45 - 48). The method comprising the steps of:

generating at least one electronic document associated with the project; Generating electronic documents is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "Pre-composed forms provide a basic sequential structure for project documentation. These forms are stored as Methodology documents in the Management and Technical databases. These forms are included in the notebook upon initialization of a particular project, and are immediately available to the user via a menu selection" (column 19, lines 42 - 45).

identifying entities that comprise an approval hierarchy; Parties identified and their individual documents being generated is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses four levels of approvals as "Authorization to Proceed – this document records the senior executive review of approval to continue with the project" (column 10, lines 1 - 2), (column 10, lines 52 - 53), (column 12, lines 23 - 24) and (column 13, lines 9 - 10).

automatically forwarding a notice requesting approval of the at least one electronic document to a successive one of the entities in the approval hierarchy upon approval of the at least one electronic document by a previous entity in the approval hierarchy. Forwarding a non-

Art Unit: 3623

ratified contract that is to be approved through an approval hierarchy is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "programming for a display that prompts a user to enter a request for signatures for a document and that generates a signature request document in response to said request for approval" (column 59, lines 41 - 45).

a first one of the entities or a previous entity in the approval process requesting clarification with respect to the at least one electronic document; Requesting clarification and/or resolving by way of facilitating an open discussion of disputes is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "Discussion – a generic form to allow users to enter into an electronic discussion about a topic" (column 15, lines 7 - 8).

second one of the entities in the approval process disapproving the at least one electronic document; A second entity in the approval/disapproval process, other than senior management, is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "This field contains the name of the customer representative. This person will have the authorization to approve the Customer section of document approvals, reviews, and ATPs" (Figure 5).

5. Claims 3 and 38 are a method and a system further comprising the steps of:

opening an electronic notebook associated with the at least one electronic document; An electronic network form is anticipated by Mora et al, which discloses "the project notebook forms may be pre-composed or user – composed. Both types of forms are stored" (column 4, lines 26 - 29).

Art Unit: 3623

generating the requested clarification in the electronic notebook; Requesting clarification and/or resolving by way of facilitating an open discussion of disputes is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "Discussion – a generic form to allow users to enter into an electronic discussion about a topic" (column 15, lines 7 - 8).

forwarding the at least one electronic document back to the entity that requested the clarification. Forwarding of documents is anticipated by Mora et al, which discloses "the form can be sent through e-mail" (column 16, lines 8 - 9).

6. Claims 4 and 39 are a method and system further comprising the steps of:

one of the entities in the approval process disapproving the at least one electronic document; Approving or not approving, which is disapproving, is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "Approvals-Automated and controlled signature form for required signoffs" (column 11, lines 53 - 54).

automatically forwarding the at least one electronic document to one of a previous entity in the approval hierarchy and the document originator. Forwarding an electronic document, such as a contract, is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "programming for a display that prompts a user to enter a request for signatures for a document and that generates a signature request document in response to said request for approval" (column 59, lines 41 - 45).

7. Claims 5 and 40 are a method and system further comprising the step of determining if a monetary value associated with the at least one electronic document requires that at least one electronic document obtain the approval of a higher level entity in the approval hierarchy.

Art Unit: 3623

Determining a monetary value or payment details and identifying the approval contracting parties is anticipated by Mora et al. which discloses "the official form that is used to request additional money for the project once the project has begun" (column 11, lines 5 - 7).

- 8. Claims 6 and 41 are a method and system further comprising the step of temporarily substituting a substitute entity for one of the entities in the approval hierarchy. Using another party as a substitute approval entity is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses the role of "Analyst. This person will have the authorization to approve the Application Architecture Analyst section of document approvals, reviews, and ATPs." (Figure 5).
- 9. Claims 7 15 and 42 50 are methods and system further comprising the step of maintaining the at least one electronic document in a central storage location to which the entities in the approval hierarchy can access, review and approve the at least one of several types of electronic document. A database of electronic documents in a central location is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "a Division Documents database" (column 3, line 35).
- 10. Claims 18, 24 and 52 are a method and system, wherein there are a plurality of electronic documents, the method further comprising the steps of organizing the plurality of electronic documents into folders; use of drag and drop, and providing a plurality of views of the plurality of electronic documents. Folders and drag and drop are anticipated by Mora et al, which discloses folders as "various menus and other windows-type user prompts, such as buttons and dialog boxes" (column 2, lines 58 60), and views is taught as "the forms are categorized into views, each view having different view selection criteria" (column 1, lines 53 54).

Application/Control Number: 09/705,486 Page 7

Art Unit: 3623

11. Claims 19 and 53 are a method and system further comprising the steps of establishing a user profile for each user participating in the method and limiting a user's access to the at least one electronic document based on a parameter in the user profile. Limiting a user's access to a specific set of documents is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "the notebook software contains pre-programmed formulas that determine which persons may access or enter data into which forms or sections of forms. In other words, document security is provided on a form-by-form basis" (column 6, lines19 - 22) and "document security is based on the project roles, whose assignment was discussed above in connection with project initialization" (column 6, lines 28 - 31) where "the database manager defines the access control list (ACL). The ACL specifies which users, user groups, and servers have access to the project-specific database and what tasks these persons can perform. The access levels include manager, designer, editor, author, reader, depositor, and no access" (column 5, lines 50 - 55).

- 12. Claims 20 and 54 are a method and system further comprising the step of generating an electronic workflow list for a user that contains a list of electronic documents that require an action by the user. An electronic list or directory of items or views that require a change status action by the user is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses a "The user has made a View selection to access a list of documents. From this list, the user has selected a document whose status is to be changed." (column 7, lines 24 28).
- 13. Claims 21, 55 and 56 are a method and system wherein the step of automatically forwarding the notice further comprises forwarding the notice on an intranet. Sending a notice

Application/Control Number: 09/705,486 Page 8

Art Unit: 3623

via an Internet address is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "system may also be part of a larger network via external connections to the Internet" (column 3, lines 24 - 25).

14. Claims 22, 23, 58 and 59 are a method and system further comprising the steps of:
generating a budget for the project;. Determining how much to pay each party is
anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "Estimating process - description of the process and assumptions used in creating the estimates for the project" (column 10, lines 37 - 38).

and generating a funding document using the budget, wherein the at least one electronic document is the funding document. Creating a budget funding document is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "details of the work breakdown structure (task list), budget required, and schedule for the project" (column 10, lines 40 -43).

- 15. Claims 25 29 and 60 64 are methods and systems incorporating an electronic file.

 An electronic file or database record is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses a "notebook database is composed of five databases: a management database, a technical database, a division documents database, an administration database" (column 3, lines 33 36).
- 16. Claim 36 is a system for automating the management of a project, the system comprising:

a network; A network in the form of a Local Area Network (LAN) or a Wide Area

Network (WAN) is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses a "system is comprised of a server

11 in data communication with a number of workstations 11 via some sort of protocol such as

Art Unit: 3623

that provided by a LAN or WAN connection. Server 11 may be connected to other servers, as part of either a flat or hierarchal network structure." (column 3, lines19 - 24).

a project manager workstation coupled to the network; A project management application that runs on a notebook workstation is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "to initialize a project notebook, a project manager makes project-specific copies of the Management and Technical databases, and gives the new databases a project identifier." (column 5, lines 45 - 48).

at least one client workstation coupled to the network, wherein the at least one client workstation is used to identify entities that comprise an approval hierarchy; A workstation coupled to a network is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "system 10 is comprised of a server in data communication with a number of workstations 12, via some sort of protocol such as that provided by a LAN or WAN connection" (column 3, lines 19 – 22).

a database server coupled to the network, the database server containing at least one electronic document associated with the project; A database of documents coupled to a network server is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses a "server 11 is comprised of a computer 11a, which in addition to its other server tasks, maintains a notebook database 11b" (column 3, lines 30 - 32).

a workflow server coupled to the network wherein the workflow server automatically forwards a notice requesting approval of the at least one electronic document to a successive one of the entities in the approval hierarchy upon approval of the at least one electronic document by a previous entity in the approval hierarchy. A server connected to a network is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "programming for a display that prompts a user to enter a request for

Art Unit: 3623

signatures for a document and that generates a signature request document in response to said request for approval" (column 59, lines 41 - 45).

17. Claims 57, 66 and 67 are the system as recited in claim 65 wherein the external network is the Internet. Internet capability is anticipated by is anticipated by Mora et al., which discloses "system may also be part of a larger network via external connections to the Internet" (column 3, lines 24 - 25).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 19. Claims 16, 30 34, 51 and 65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mora et al (US 6,161,113) in view of Webber Jr. (US 6,167,378).

Mora et al teaches a project notebook with a database of pre-composed documents used to manage a project and to obtain approval from senior management during various phases of the project. The device incorporates LAN, WAN and Internet access and also includes a windows based interface.

Mora et al does not specifically teach digital signatures or an interface with accounting software such as the General Ledger.

Art Unit: 3623

Webber Jr. teaches an automated network and Internet based project management and contract management system that incorporates electronic documents and an approval hierarchy. digital signatures, financial / General Ledger interactions and a database. As per Claims 16 and 51, Webber Jr., also teaches digital signatures by reciting "the ratifying step is a digital signature" (column 25, lines 64 - 65). As per Claims 30 - 34 and 65 Webber Jr. also teaches General Ledgers and performing a partial and/or full closeout to the General Ledger by transfer of ending financial balances, via making the appropriate debit/credit journal entries to the General Ledger, by reciting "all data for all transactions, journals, ledgers, financial reports, and management reports for a particular party may be viewed by that party via a connection to the CAP" (column 11, lines 28 -31) and where "the database includes storage for records of calculated payables for payment from the second entity to the first" (column 27, lines 49 -51).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate digital signatures because digital signatures would increase the speed and efficiency with which the approval process was performed. Without incorporating digital signatures, the invention would require the use of standard written signatures, which would require that the signature either be scanned and fed into the system or would require the incorporation of handwriting software which at the time of the invention had not yet been perfected. Digital signatures would eliminate this step and lead to quicker approval from the many people within the approval hierarchy.

It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate financial measures, calculation of payments and General Ledger entries because such measures convey to both the project manager and to the customer

Art Unit: 3623

the degree to which the goals of the managed project are being met. The willingness of the customer to make payment in a timely and accurate manner demonstrates that a customer is happy with the project. Determination of a project being under or over budget is also an indicator of the successful or failure of a project. Meeting specific percentage of completions in a timely manner also present a success measure of the project at specific points in time. Therefore, incorporating financial and General Ledger numbers is an obvious way to determine how well the project is going and an essential part of the project management system.

Page 12

Art Unit: 3623

Conclusion

- 20. No claims were allowed and all claims were rejected.
- 21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Retallick et al. (6,442,567) – Project Management, Planning and Contract apparatus. www.projectmanagement.com - Project management web site archived on May 20, 2000. www.project-manager.com - Project management web site archived on January 27, 1999.

22. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Eric Shaffer whose telephone number is (703) 305-5283. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tariq Hafiz can be reached on (703) 305-9643.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 746-7238 [After Final communications, labeled "Box AF"]
 (703) 746-7239 [Official communications]
 (703) 706-9124 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 2, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 4th floor receptionist.

Susanne Diaz Susanne Diaz Patent Examiner AA lunit 3623

ETS

February 19, 2003